

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/WZ-448

Kevin Harrison Eastern Metal of Elmira, Inc. 1430 Sullivan Street Elmira NY 14901 USA

Dear Mr. Harrison:

We received your correspondence of April 2, 2021 requesting issuance of a reimbursement eligibility letter under the Federal-aid highway program for the roadside safety system, device, design, product, or hardware (collectively "device") described below. This letter is assigned Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) control number WZ-448.

#### **ELIGIBILITY LETTERS**

The FHWA issues Federal-aid reimbursement eligibility letters for new roadside safety devices that are crash tested in accordance with the industry standard of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH).

FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States (government) do not regulate roadside safety devices, crash test facilities, or the manufacturing industry. Issuance of eligibility letters is discretionary and provided only as a service to the states. FHWA may, at its discretion, decline to issue, revise, or rescind an eligibility letter. Eligibility letters are only issued by the FHWA headquarters Office of Safety.

Eligibility letters are issued only as notice to the states that a device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. They do not establish approval or certification for any other purpose. Issuance of an eligibility letter is not a prerequisite or requirement for state transportation agencies seeking to use Federal-aid funds for roadside safety devices. State agencies may use a device for which an eligibility letter has not been issued and seek Federal-aid reimbursement.

#### FEDERAL-AID REIMBURSEMENT

The request for issuance of this letter certified the device was crash tested in accordance with the industry standard of AASHTO's MASH. This eligibility letter is based on that certification and the material offered in support of its issuance. The device described below is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Name of system: Apex Tripod Type of system: Work Zone Test Level: Test Level 3

Testing conducted by: Calspan Corporation

Date of request: April 2, 2021

Information about the device, including material such as the eligibility request, crash test reports, drawings, or images are included in one or more attachment(s) to this letter.

Eligibility letter WZ-448 is inapplicable to devices, optional equipment, alternate materials, or other features that were not crash tested in accordance with AASHTO's MASH.

This letter is issued only for the subject device as crash tested under AASHTO's MASH. Later modification(s) of the device are not eligible for Federal-aid reimbursement under this letter. Notice of later modification(s) should be given to transportation agencies, facility owners, and operators (collectively "agencies").

Agencies should be provided appropriate information about the device's design, installation, maintenance, materials, and mechanical properties.

Issuance of this letter is discretionary, and it may be revised or rescinded at FHWA's discretion. This letter is not a determination of compliance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (MUTCD) or ownership of any intellectual property rights.

This eligibility letter is not a determination by the government that a crash involving the subject device will result in any particular outcome. It is limited to only the device's eligibility for Federal-aid reimbursement.

#### INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Issuance of this eligibility letter does not convey property rights of any sort nor any exclusive privilege. This letter is not authorization or consent by the government for the use, manufacture, or sale of any patented or proprietary system, device, design, product, or hardware for which the requester is not the patent owner. Eligibility letters are not an expression of any view, position, or determination by the government as to the validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device. These letters do not grant, impute, suggest, or otherwise establish any ownership, distribution, or licensing rights to the requester. The government expresses no opinion about the intellectual property rights relating to any device for which this or any other eligibility letter is issued.

#### **PUBLIC DISCLOSURE**

To prevent any misunderstanding, and as discussed above, this eligibility letter is assigned FHWA control number WZ-448. It should only be reproduced in full with its attachment(s). This letter and the material offered by the requester supporting its issuance is public information. All eligibility letters and supporting material are subject to public disclosure under the Freedom

of Information Act (FOIA). Eligibility letters are available to the public at <a href="https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway">https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway</a> dept/countermeasures/reduce crash severity/.

If you have any questions please contact Aimee Zhang at Aimee.Zhang@dot.gov.

Sincerely, Louis M. Wood

Louisa M. Ward

Acting Director, Office of Safety

Technologies Office of Safety

Enclosures

# Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	April 02, 2021	<ul><li>New</li></ul>	<ul><li>Resubmission</li></ul>
	Name:	Kevin Harrison		
ter	Company:	Eastern Metal of Elmira, Inc.		
Submitter	Address:	1430SullivanStreet Elmira, NY 14901		
Suk	Country:	USA		
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies		

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Device & Testing Criterion - I	Enter from right to left starti	ng with Test Level !-!-!		!-!-!
SystemType	Submission Type	Device Name / Variant	Testing Criterion	Test Level
'WZ': Crash Worthy Work Zon	<ul><li>Physical Crash Testing</li><li>Engineering Analysis</li></ul>	ApexTripod	AASHTOMASH	TL3

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

#### Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

iv. Patents, copyrights, licenses, and other intellectual property interests;

vi. Business ownership and investment interest s;

Contact Name:	Kevin Harrison	Same as Submitter \		
Company Name:	Eastern Metal of Elmira, Inc.	Same as Submitter \		
Address:	Address: 1430SullivanStreet Elmira,NY 14901			
Country:	USA	Same as Submitter \		
	closures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federa or Safety Hardware Devices' document.	I-Aid Reimbursement		
Eastern Metal of Elmira, Inc. and Calspan Corporation share no financial interests between the two organizations.  This includes no shared financial interest but not limited to:  i. Compensation including wages, salaries, commissions, professional fees, or fees for business referrals  iii. Research funding or other forms of research support;				

### PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Help					
New Hardwa Significant Mo	re or odification	Modification to Existing Hardware			
of a three-legged a minimum botto warning flags. Th sign and sign sta	d galvanized ste om height of 15" ne flag mechanis and assembly ca	el frame with componer from the ground. A fold am is pivotally attached to n be quickly and readily	nts to hable floot the value of	ched to a 48" plywood signold signs. The frame and ag mechanism is used to retical cross-brace mem mbled to its display condition and transport condition	d sign components yield o display a set of ober. The combination lition and,
			_	uttached. Open dimension d sign stand assembly w	
		CRASH	ΓEST	TING	
all of the critical a	ınd relevant cras jineer has deterr	sh tests for this device li	sted a	oratory, agrees in support bove were conducted to s are necessary to deter	
Engineer Name:		MarkParisi			
EngineerSignati	ure:	Mark J. Par	isi	0,0	ed by Mark J. Parisi 4.21 13:27:01 -04'00'
Address:		4455 Genesee Street, C	heekto	owaga, NY 14225	SameasSubmitter
Country:		USA			Same as Submitter
A brief descript	ion of each cras	sh test and its result:	Help		
RequiredTest		Narrative			uation
Number		escription		Res	sults
3-70 (1100C)	small vehicle to fracture, or yiel considered opt	evaluate the ability of a cactivate any breakawa ding mechanism. Is tional for work zone tra ng less than 220 lb (10	affic	Non-Relevant Test, not o	conducted

kg)

Required Test Number  Posscription  For this test, two Apex Tripod work zone signs were impacted. The first test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 0° to the impacting vehicle's direction of travel. This test is intended to evaluate the sign stand's behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test whicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle fremained upright and did not exceed 75"roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			rage 3 01 3
For this test, two Apex Tripod work zone signs were impacted. The first test article was aligned at 0° and thesecond test article was aligned at 90° to the impacting vehicle's direction of travel. This test is intended to evaluate the sign stand's behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101 4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented leased from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield. The flease of the vehicle area any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable bed fedormation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.	Required Test	Narrative	Evaluation
For this test, two Apex Tripod work zone signs were impacted. The first test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 10° to the impacting vehicle's direction of travel. This test is intended to evaluate the sign stand's behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that vould result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values 010 and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedam with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the sign support and impacted the lease of the sign support and impacted the lood and windshield of the vehicle are leased from the sign support and impacted the lood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the drive's vision. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		Description	
signs were impacted. The first test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 0° and the sign stand's behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 83 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign test and (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (90.8 km/hr). Upon impact the second sign stand (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (90.8 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign content the sign support and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (90.8 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign teleased from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle soccupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle	INGITIDO	Description	results
signs were impacted. The first test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 0° and the sign stand's behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 83 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign test and (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (90.8 km/hr). Upon impact the second sign stand (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (90.8 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign content the sign support and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (90.8 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign teleased from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle soccupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle		For this test, two Apex Tripod work zone	
was aligned at 90" to the impacting vehicle's direction of travel. This test is intended to evaluate the sign stand's behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0") at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90") at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90") at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign orion the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75"roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle in not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
was aligned at 90° to the impacting vehicle's direction of travel. This test is intended to evaluate the sign stand's behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criterial limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RAP er MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 pmp (1014 Akm/h). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign test of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the windshield. The top of the plywood sign folded over the front end of the windshield. The top of the plywood sign benefit the second sign stand (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign benefit the second sign stand (oriented at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle soccupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.			
vehicle's direction of travel. This test is intended to evaluate the sign stand's behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the phywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the phywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (90.4 km/hr). Upon impact the phymod sign released from the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/hr). Upon impact the phymod sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The phywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle in ont leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
intended to evaluate the sign stand's behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (98.9 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (orientated at 9°) at a velocity of 62 mph (98.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation in the roof of the vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle meanured upright and did not exceed 75° foll and plitch throughout the test. The vehicle from ained upright and did not exceed 75° foll and plitch throughout the test. The vehicle front in on leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr), Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		intended to evaluate the sign stand's	
evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr), Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		behavior when impacted. The primary	
occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criterial limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and din ont exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (98.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (1014 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4* requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and plitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
risk criteria limits.  Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (98.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and plitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
Therefore Test 71 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		that would result in exceeding occupant	
instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		risk criteria limits.	
instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		Therefore Test 71 was conducted without	
risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
description.  The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		risk values OIV and RA per MASH test	
The test was conducted using a commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		<u> </u>	
commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		description.	
commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		The test was conducted using a	
sedan with a test inertia mass of 2458 lbs (1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4° requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		commercially available 2014 Kia Rio 4 door	
(1115 kg).  The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		(1115 kg).	
stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
stand (orientated at 0°) at a velocity of 63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		The test vehicle impacted the first sign	
63 mph (101.4 km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80°. This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
plywood sign folded over the front end of the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
the vehicle and impacted both the roof and the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.	3-71 (1100C)	plywood sign folded over the front end of	
the windshield. The top of the plywood sign dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		the vehicle and impacted both the roof and	
dented the roof, but did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
occupant compartment. The test vehicle continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
continued along its path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		occupant compartment. The test vehicle	
second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		continued along its path and impacted the	
velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		_ · · · · ·	
impact the plywood sign released from the sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
sign support and impacted the hood and windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		impact the plywood sign released from the	
windshield of the vehicle. The plywood sign broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		sign support and impacted the hood and	
broke the windshield, but did not create any tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
tears or deformation. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		tears or deformation. The test vehicle's	
by the test articles, but there was an acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		occupant compartment was not penetrated	
acceptable deformation on the roof of the vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		1	
vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75°roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		1 7	
acceptable per the 4" requirement.  Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		I :	
Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		vehicle measured to be 0.80". This is	
Debris from the test articles did not block the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		acceptable per the 4" requirement.	
the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
the driver's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		Debris from the test articles did not block	
upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		the driver's vision. The vehicle remained	
throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.		upright and did not exceed 75° roll and nitch	
leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.			
after both sign stands were impacted.			
		leave its lane and its trajectory was stable	
	Ì	after both sign stands were impacted.	
TESTRESULT=PASS			
IESTRESULT=PASS		TECTDEC!    T_DACC	
	ĺ	IESIKESULI=PASS	
	_	1	

For this test, two Apex Tripod road signs were impacted. The first test article was aligned at 0° and the second test article was aligned at 90° to the test vehicle's direction of travel. This test is intended to evaluate the sign stand's behavior when impacted. The primary evaluation is based on intrusion into the occupant compartment, windshield damage, and vehicle stability. Lightweight devices such as the Apex Tripod sign cannot cause sufficient velocity change that would result in exceeding occupant risk criteria limit s. Therefore Test 72 was conducted without instrumentation for evaluating occupant risk values OIV and RA per MASH test description.

The test was conducted using a commercially available 2010 Ram 1500 Pickup Truck with a test inertia mass of 5066 lbs (2298kg).

3-72 (2270P)

The test vehicle impacted the first sign stand (oriented at 0°) at a velocity of 62 mph (99.8 km/ hr). Upon impact the plywood sign impacted and folded over the front end of the vehicle. The top of plywood sign impacted the hood and slid up and over the windshield. The test vehicle continued along it path and impacted the second sign stand (oriented at 90°) at a velocity of 60.9 mph (98.0km/hr). Upon impact the plywood sign released from the sign stand and impacted both the grille and hood. The plywood sign crushed the hood and removed the front grille from the vehicle. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated by the test articles and there was no measurable deformation in the cab.

Debris from the test article did not cause a hazard to the driver 's vision. The vehicle remained upright and did not exceed 75° roll and pitch throughout the test. The vehicle did not leave its lane and its trajectory was stable after both sign stands were impacted.

TESTRESULT=PASS

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

		<u> </u>
Laboratory Name:	Calspan Corporation	
Laboratory Signature:	Mark J. Parisi  Digitally signer Date: 2021.04	ed by Mark J. Parisi 4.21 13:29:11 -04'00'
Address:	4455GeneseeStreet Cheektowaga, NY 14225	Same as Submitter
Country:	USA	Same as Submitter
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	L20-602 December 31, 2022	

Submitter Signature\*: Kevin Harrison Digitally signed by Kevin Harrison Date: 2021.04.21 16:25:01 -0400

**Submit Form** 

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

#### Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

#### **FHWA Official Business Only:**

Eligibility Letter		
Number Date		Key Words

#### **SECTION 4**

#### **MASH TEST 3-71 SUMMARY**

Test Article:	Eastern Metal Apex Tripod	— Project No.	BR0046
Test Program:	MASH 3-71	Test Date:	03/04/2021

#### **SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS**

#### 0° Orientation









0.030s

90° Orientation



**PLAN VIEW** 

-15ft Oft 15ft 30ft 45ft 60ft 75ft 90ft 105ft 120ft 135ft 150ft 165ft 180ft 195ft 210ft 225ft 240ft 255ft 270ft 285ft







Vehicle is at 63 MPH when it contacts first sign and it is 5 feet from the point it is released from the Tow System (which occurs at 0 feet on scale)

Vehicle is Stopped at 185 feet from the point of initial release from the Two Systems (which occurs at 0 feet on scale)

## SECTION 4... (CONTINUED) MASHTEST 3-71SUMMARY

Test Article:	Eastern Metal Apex Tripod	Project No.	BR0046
Test Program: -	MASH 3-71	Test Date:	03/04/2021

#### **SUMMARY TABLE**

GENI	IMPACT CONDITIONS			
TEST AGENCY	Calspan Corporation	IMPACT VELOCITY(0°)		63.0 MPH (101.4 km/h)
TEST NUMBER	Cal BR0046	IMPACT VELOCITY (90°)		62.0 MPH (99.8 km/h)
TEST DESIGNATION	3-71	IMPACT SEVERITY	(0°)	442.3 kJ
TEST DATE	3/04/2021	IMPACT SEVERITY (	(90°)	428.5 kJ
		Impact Location (0°)		Vehicle centerline (0 inches)
		Impact Location (90°	)	Vehicle centerline (0 inches)
	TEST ARTICLE		EXIT	CONDITIONS
NAME / MODEL	Apex Tripod	EXIT VELOCITY (0°)		63.0 MPH (101.4 km/h)
TYPE	Work-Zone Traffic Control Device	EXIT VELOCITY (90°	°)	62 MPH (99.8 km/h)
KEY ELEMENTS	Sign Stand, Metal Tripod Base, Wood Sign	FINAL RESTING PO	SITION	185 ft. downstream
OVERALL HEIGHT	76 in. (1930 mm)	VEHICLE STABILITY	′	Satisfactory
OVERALL WIDTH	68 in. (1727 mm)	VEHICLE SNAGGIN	G	None
BASE WEIGHT	18 lbs. (7.71 kg)	VEHICLE POCKETIN	NG	None
SIGN WEIGHT	21 lbs. (9.53 kg)		OCCUPAN	T RISK VALUES 1
ROAD SURFACE	Asphalt	OCCUPANT IMPACT	Longitudinal	N/A
	TEST VEHICLE	VELOCITY	Lateral	N/A
TYPE / DESIGNATION	1100C	RIDEDOWN	Longitudinal	N/A
YEAR , MAKE AND MODEL	2014 Kia Rio	ACCELERATION	Lateral	N/A
OUDD MAGO	0504    (4440   )		TEST ARTIC	CLE POST-IMPACT
CURB MASS	2531 lbs. (1148 kg)	ARTICLE DAMAGE		Base Deformation/Upper separation
TEGT INFERTIAL MAGO	0.450    (4.4451 )	VEHICLE <b>DAMAGE</b>		CLE DAMAGE
TEST INERTIAL MASS	TEST INERTIAL MASS 2458 lbs. (1115kg)		SCALE	FL-3 ; FR-4
GROSS STATIC MASS	2458 lbs. (1115kg)	COLLISION DAMAGE CLASSIFICATION		12FLEN01 12FREN01
		MAXIMUM DEFORM	IATION	Negligible

<sup>1</sup>Values not calculated due to test article weight being less than 220 lbs. (100 kg)

#### **SECTION 4**

#### **MASH TEST 3-72 SUMMARY**

Test Article:	Eastern Metal Apex Tripod	Project No.	BR0058
Test Program:	MASH 3-72	Test Date:	03/16/2021

#### **SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS**





0.000s









90° Orientation



0.030s

0.032s

0.076s

#### **PLAN VIEW**

-15 ft 0 ft 15 ft 30 ft 45 ft 60 ft 75 ft 90 ft 105 ft 120 ft 135 ft 150 ft 165 ft 180 ft 195 ft 210 ft 225 ft 240 ft 255 ft 270 ft







Vehicle is at 62 MPH when it contacts first sign and it is 5 feet from the point it is released from the Tow System (which occurs at 0 feet on scale)

Vehicle is Stopped at 181 feet from the point of initial release from the Two Systems (which occurs at 0 feet on scale)

## SECTION 4... (CONTINUED) MASHTEST 3-72 SUMMARY


Test Article:	Eastern Metal Apex Tripod	Project No.	BR0058
Test Program:	MASH 3-72	Test Date:	03/16/2021


#### **SUMMARY TABLE**


GENERAL INFORMATION		IMPACT CONDITIONS		
TEST AGENCY	Calspan Corporation.	IMPACT VELOCITY (0°)		62.0 MPH (99.8 km/h)
TEST NUMBER	BR0058	IMPACT VELOCITY (90°)		60.9 MPH (98.0 km/h)
TEST DESIGNATION	3-72	KINETIC ENERGY (0°)		883.0 kJ
TEST DATE	03/16/2021	KINETIC ENERGY (90°)		851.5 kJ
		Impact Location (0°)		387.35 mm towards driver
	Impact Location (90°)			396.87 mm towards passenger
TEST ARTICLE		EXIT CONDITIONS		
NAME / MODEL	Apex Tripod	EXIT VELOCITY (0°)		62.0 MPH (99.8 km/h)
TYPE	Work-Zone Traffic Control Device	EXIT VELOCITY (90°)		60.9 MPH (98 km/h)
KEY ELEMENTS	Sign Stand, Metal Tripod Base, Wood Sign	FINAL RESTING POSITION		181 ft. downstream
OVERALL HEIGHT	76 in. (1930 mm)	VEHICLE STABILITY		Satisfactory
OVERALL WIDTH	68 in. (1727 mm)	VEHICLE SNAGGING		None
BASE WEIGHT	18 lbs. (8.2 kg)	VEHICLE POCKETING		None
SIGN WEIGHT	21 lbs. (9.53 kg)	OCCUPANT RISK VALUES 1		
ROAD SURFACE	Asphalt	OCCUPANT IMPACT	Longitudinal	N/A
TEST VEHICLE		VELOCITY	Lateral	N/A
TYPE / DESIGNATION	2270P	RIDEDOWN ACCELERATION	Longitudinal	N/A
YEAR , MAKE AND MODEL	2010 RAM 1500		Lateral	N/A
CURB MASS	5105 lbs. (2316 kg)	TEST ARTICLE POST-IMPACT		
		ARTICLE DAMAGE		Base Deformation/Upper separation
TEST INERTIAL MASS	5066 lbs. (2298 kg)	VEHICLE DAMAGE		
		VEHICLE DAMAGE SCALE		FL-2 ; FR-2
GROSS STATIC MASS	5066 lbs. (2298 kg)	COLLISION DAMAGE CLASSIFICATION		12FLEN01
				12FREN01
		MAXIMUM DEFORMATION		Negligible

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Values not calculated due to test article weight being less than 220 lbs. (100 kg)

### JPEG of TEST ARTICLE DRAWINGS: APEX TRIPOD









### Model No. Apex Tripod

